



The Role of Childhood Abuse Experience and Early Maladaptive Schemas in Predicting Impulsivity Among Patients with Psychiatric Disorders

Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh ^{1,*}, Azizollah Mojahed ² and Mohamad Davood Mohebi ³

¹Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Medical Science, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

²Health Promotion Research Center, Department of Clinical Psychology, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

³Department of Psychiatry, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Medical Science, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9119873749, Email: sarani.jafar@yahoo.com

Received 2019 July 20; Revised 2019 November 13; Accepted 2020 January 14.

Abstract

Background: The lifelong consequences of child abuse encompasses mental health problems, to have experience of child abuse and early maladaptive schemas (EMs), could result to impulsive behavior, in a wide range of psychiatric disorders.

Objectives: This study aimed to examine the role of childhood abuse experience and EMs in predicting impulsivity among patients with psychiatric disorders in Zahedan, Sistan and Baluchestan Province, Iran.

Patient and Methods: The present research was a descriptive-analytical study. The research sample included 151 patients referring to psychiatric treatment centers. The sampling process was carried out following a pilot study, and the samples were selected from the patients who referred to psychiatric treatment centers in Zahedan from December 30, 2017 to July 29, 2018. The participants then filled out Barratt Impulsiveness scale (BIS-11), the short version of Young Schema questionnaire (YSQ), and Mohammadkhani's Child Abuse questionnaire (CASRS). The collected data was analyzed with SPSS software version 21, using descriptive statistics (i.e., frequency, mean, and standard deviation), and inferential statistics (i.e., stepwise linear regression model).

Results: The result showed that there was a significant positive correlation between childhood abuse and EMs with impulsivity. Disconnection/rejection and other-directedness could predict motor impulsiveness ($F = 38.17, p < 0.001$). On the other hand, cognitive impulsiveness could be predicted by neglect and disconnection/rejection ($F = 18.60, P < 0.001$). Impaired autonomy, performance and impaired limits were also the predictors of non-planning impulsiveness ($F = 39.73, P < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Childhood abuse experience and EMs may lead to inefficient interpersonal relationships, risky behaviors, self-harm, harmful behaviors towards others, and decreased mental health during an individual's lifetime.

Keywords: Child Abuse, Early Maladaptive Schema, Impulsive Behavior, Mental Disorders

1. Background

According to a systematic review, the prevalence of child physical abuse, emotional abuse and neglect in Iran was 43.5%, 64.5% and 40.9%, respectively (1).

A history of child abuse in patients suffering from major mental disorders is accompanied with a low level of life meaningfulness in adulthood (2). Furthermore, the lifelong consequences of child abuse are mental health problems (3), poor academic performance (4), impulsive behaviors (5), anxiety disorders (6), symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorders (7, 8), antisocial behavior (9), severe depression symptoms (10-13), suicidal thoughts (13-15), and early maladaptive schemas (16).

A "schema" is called an "outline" or a "framework". In

psychology and, more specifically, cognitive psychology, a schema is an outline formed based on previous experiences or reality to enable individuals to explain their experiences (17, 18).

The research findings indicate that the likelihood of deviations in the cognitive schemas is higher in individuals with a history of childhood abuse in comparison to those without such experience (19, 20). Furthermore, individuals with EMs show the symptoms of borderline personality and antisocial personality disorders (21), eating disorders (22), drug abuse (23), aggressive sexual behavior (24), and impulsivity (impulsiveness) and impulsive behaviors (25).

Moeller et al. (26) defined impulsivity as a state of pre-

paredness for taking immediate and unplanned actions in response to internal and external stimuli regardless of its negative consequences for oneself and the others. Impulsive individuals fail to postpone pleasure and practice self-control (27).

Impulsivity is involved in disorders such as eating disorders (28), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (29), depression, anxiety, personality disorders, and suicidal schemas and patterns (30).

Wright et al. (31) studied 301 university students to analyze the mediating role of the EMSs in the relationship between childhood emotional maltreatment and later psychological distress. Their findings showed that emotional maltreatment experience and emotional neglect were associated with the later symptoms of depression and anxiety and were mediated by schemas self-sacrifice, vulnerability to harm and shame. In their study, the experience of emotional neglect was associated with the later dissociative symptoms with regard to the mediating role of two maladaptive schemas, i.e., shame and vulnerability to harm (31).

Previous studies have been carried out on this field, especially on the victims of have documented that childhood abuse experience might be associated with the EMSs and impulsive behaviors; however, such findings cannot be generalized since few studies different forms of child abuse. Accordingly, further investigations are required to clarify how the EMSs are associated with impulsive behaviors in individuals with abuse experience, which schemas have the strongest correlation with childhood abuse, and how some demographic variables affect the severity of the abuse experience. More studies are also needed to confirm the previous evidence and reach an agreement in this regard.

2. Objectives

The present study was to examine the role of childhood abuse experience and EMSs in predicting impulsivity in patients with psychiatric disorders. To this end, the following research questions were posed: Which component of childhood abuse experience is correlated with impulsivity? Which dimension of the EMSs interacts with childhood abuse and impulsivity?

3. Patients and Methods

The present research was a descriptive-analytical study. Afterwards, sampling was carried out using a pilot study's method.

The sample size of the study was estimated to be 151 patients referring to Psychiatric Health Centers in Zahedan, IR Iran.

Before the research questionnaires were filled out, they were completed by a few participants to ensure understandability of the questions. The ambiguities were removed to reduce the misunderstandings and to increase the accuracy of the questions.

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

(1) Aged 18 years and older; and; (2) informed consent to participate in the study. Moreover, the exclusion criteria also were suffering from a mental disability, having symptoms of psychosis and suffering from a disease inhibiting cooperation in the study.

3.1. Instruments

3.1.1. Mohammadkhani's Child Abuse Self-Report Scale

This 38-item questionnaire developed by Mohammadkhani et al. (32) was to measure four subscales, namely physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional/psychological abuse, and neglect. The items are scored based on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from never (0) to always (3). In addition, the items on neglect are ranked inversely. Mohammadkhani calculated Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the scale to be 0.92, indicating its high internal consistency. This coefficient ranged from 0.79 to 0.89 for the emotional abuse, neglect, physical abuse, and sexual abuse, and all the coefficients were significant at $P < 0.001$ (32).

3.1.2. Young Schema Questionnaire (YSQ)

This questionnaire consists of 75 questions aiming at measuring 15 EMSs. Each item in this questionnaire is scored based on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from completely wrong (1) to completely right (6) (33-35). According to Cronbach's alpha coefficient, the total reliability of this scale was 0.964, and the reliability was higher than 0.80 for all the subscales (34). The internal consistency coefficients varied from 0.73 to 0.93 (36, 37). The 15-factor structure of the short version of the schema questionnaire has been proven in Iran (36).

3.1.3. Barratt Impulsiveness Scale

This 30-item scale is developed by Barratt, and the items are scored based on a four-point Likert scale. The questionnaire contains the following three categories: non-planning impulsiveness, motor impulsiveness, and cognitive impulsiveness (38). Javid et al. (39) analyzed the psychometric features of this scale in a study on 259 students at Shiraz University and reported a Cronbach's alpha of 0.81 and a re-test coefficient of 0.77. They also reported Cronbach's alpha coefficients of 0.80, 0.67, and 0.81 for

non-planning impulsiveness, motor impulsiveness, and cognitive impulsiveness, respectively. The Persian version of this questionnaire also measures the same three subscales (39).

The study samples were selected individually and the questionnaires were distributed after explaining the research objectives and ensuring the respondents' informed consent. In this study, the respondents interviewed individually in a quiet room. The interviews were held by clinical psychologists or psychiatrists. After running face-to-face interviews and assessing the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the participants were explained how to complete the questionnaires. After completing Barratt Impulsiveness scale, the short version of Young Schema questionnaire, and Mohammadxani's child abuse questionnaire, the collected data was analyzed. There was no time constraint on completing the questionnaires.

The data obtained in the present study was analyzed in SPSS 21 using descriptive statistics such as frequency, mean, standard deviation, and percentile rank as well as inferential statistics (i.e., stepwise linear regression model and independent sample *t*-test). The results were also compared in item of gender using independent sample *t*-test. The correlation between childhood abuse experience and impulsivity with EMSs was also analyzed using correlation matrix coefficient.

4. Results

The research sample included 151 patients referring to psychiatric treatment centers in Zahedan from December 30, 2017 to July 29, 2018. The sampling process followed a pilot study. The sociodemographic characteristics of the participants is summarized in Table 1.

There is a significant difference between the two genders with respect to physical abuse and neglect. Furthermore, physical abuse is more prevalent among males than females; however, neglect is more prevalent among females than males. These differences account for the difference between the impulsivity levels between males and females. In other words, there is a significant difference between the levels of impulsivity in males and females as impulsivity is higher among males, as compared to females (Table 2).

According to Table 3, the emotional child abuse and neglect have a significant relationship with non-planning impulsiveness. In addition, emotional child abuse, physical child abuse, and neglect have a significant relationship with motor impulsiveness. The impulsivity score is also higher in females than males, reflecting the higher impulsivity in males, as compared to females, the upper score

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristic of the Participants (n = 151).

	Values
Gender	
Male	92 (60.9)
Female	59 (39.1)
Marital status	
Single	73 (48.3)
Married	70 (46.4)
Divorced or widow	8 (5.3)
Occupational status	
Employed	86 (57)
Unemployed	65 (43)
Education	
Below high school diploma	58 (38.4)
Diploma and higher	93 (61.6)
History of chronic medical conditions	
Yes	39 (25.8)
No	112 (74.2)
History of Addiction	
Have	18 (11.9)
Have not	133 (88.1)
Age	
18 - 23	32 (21.2)
24 - 29	72 (47.7)
30 - 35	23 (15.2)
36 - 41	20 (13.2)
42 - 47	4 (2.6)
History of psychiatric Hospitalization	
Yes	21 (13.9)
No	130 (86.1)
Parents' history of Addiction	
Father	27 (17.9)
Mather	12 (7.9)
Both	6 (4)
None	106 (70.2)

^aValues are expressed as No. (%).

shows lower impulsivity. There is also a significant relationship between the childhood abuse components and impulsivity components. In other words, the emotional abuse and neglect have a significant relationship with non-planning impulsiveness.

According to the statistics shown in ((3), there is no relationship between the childhood abuse components (i.e., physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, and neglect) and impulsivity. Besides, our findings reflected the negative and positive effects of childhood abuse on impulsivity in males and female, respectively. The results of the present study also revealed that the participants' to-

Table 2. The Comparison of Child Abuse, Impulsivity and EMSs Between Male and Female^a

Variables	Number	Values	t	Sig.
Total abuse			1.21	0.226
Male	92	40.5 ± 13.8		
Female	59	37.96 ± 11.50		
Emotional abuse			1.24	0.215
Male	92	13.3 ± 10.2		
Female	59	11.2 ± 9.6		
Sexual abuse			1.90	0.059
Male	92	1.76 ± 2.5		
Female	59	1 ± 2.1		
Physical abuse			3.05	0.003
Male	92	5.60 ± 5.90		
Female	59	3.13 ± 4.04		
Neglect			-2.34	0.020
Male	92	19.81 ± 7.35		
Female	59	22.59 ± 6.66		
Total Ems			-0.19	0.843
Male	92	254.88 ± 70.32		
Female	59	257.13 ± 64.81		
Disconnection and rejection			0.17	0.862
Male	92	82.83 ± 27.67		
Female	59	82.03 ± 27.37		
Impaired autonomy and performance			-0.25	0.801
Male	92	59.34 ± 24.85		
Female	59	60.38 ± 24.54		
Impaired limits			-0.38	0.702
Male	92	38.2 ± 8.68		
Female	59	38.55 ± 7.99		
Other-directedness			-0.80	0.420
Male	92	34.67 ± 10.84		
Female	59	36.5 ± 9.10		
Hypervigilance /inhibition			-0.05	0.958
Male	92	40 ± 10.49		
Female	59	40.1 ± 13.01		
Total impulsivity			-2.63	0.009
Male	92	61.46 ± 8.22		
Female	59	64.76 ± 6.20		
Motor impulsiveness			-2.24	0.020
Male	92	32.10 ± 6.47		
Female	59	34.35 ± 5.15		
Cognitive impulsiveness			0.73	0.433
Male	92	8.9 ± 2.3		
Female	59	8.7 ± 1.6		
Non-planning impulsiveness			-1.24	0.214
Male	92	20.39 ± 6.8		
Female	59	21.69 ± 5.2		

^aValues are expressed as mean ± SD.

tal score has a negative significant relationship between the schemas and impulsivity, a negative significant relationship between impulsivity and disconnection/rejection schema, a negative significant relationship between im-

paired autonomy and performance schema with impulsivity, a negative significant relationship between impaired limits and impulsivity, and a negative relationship between the other-directedness schema and impulsivity. On

the other hand, the findings also has a negative significant relationship between hypervigilance schema and impulsivity. The statistical results of the present study revealed that the total child abuse score had a significant relationship with the total score of the schemas and schema components (i.e., disconnection and rejection, impaired autonomy and performance, impaired limits, and other-directedness). Regarding the child abuse components, emotional child abuse had a positive and significant relationship with the total score of schemas, disconnection/rejection, impaired autonomy and performance, impaired limits, and other-directedness. The neglect also had a negative significant relationship with the total score of schemas and schema subscales, including disconnection/rejection, and impaired autonomy and performance. Furthermore, the physical child abuse had a positive and significant relationship with the total score of the schemas and the schema subscales, including impaired autonomy and performance. It also had a positive and significant relationship with the total score of schemas and disconnection/rejection. Finally, the hypervigilance schema had no significant relationship with the child abuse components.

A stepwise linear regression model was conducted to identify the predictors of motor, cognitive, and non-planning impulsiveness, as presented in Table 4. Disconnection/rejection and other-directedness could predict 20% of the variation in motor impulsiveness ($F = 38.17$, $P < 0.001$). Moreover, neglect and disconnection/rejection could predict 11% of variation in cognitive impulsiveness ($F = 18.60$, $P < 0.001$). Impaired autonomy and performance and impaired limits could predict 21% of variation in non-planning ($F = 39.73$, $P < 0.001$) (Table 4).

5. Discussion

The first question of the study addressed the components of childhood abuse experience which were correlates with impulsivity. Surprisingly, the results indicated that there was no relationship between impulsivity and the childhood abuse components (i.e., physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect); however, there was a relationship between the childhood abuse components and the impulsivity components. In other words, the emotional abuse and neglect had a significant relationship with the non-planning impulsiveness. Furthermore, emotional abuse, physical abuse, and neglect had a significant relationship with motor impulsiveness.

The results of this study are not in line with the findings reported by Narvaez et al. (40). These researchers conducted a study to analyze the relationship between childhood traumas, impulsivity and executive functioning in outpatients suffering from cocaine abuse disorders (n

$= 84$). Their findings indicated that childhood traumas were associated with the participant's impulsive behaviors. Their results also indicated that impulsivity was an unwanted outcome of the trauma in such drug users and a risk factor for the development of a pathologic response to traumatic incidents (40). According to our findings, emotional child abuse, physical child abuse, and neglect have a significant relationship with motor impulsiveness.

McMahon et al. (41) studied different effects of childhood maltreatment and impulsivity on interpersonal violence, self-injury, and suicide attempts. Their findings revealed that childhood maltreatment and impulsivity exclusively and separately increased suicide attempts, self-injury, and interpersonal violence. Furthermore, the childhood maltreatment experience played a more critical role in the onset of self-injury as compared to interpersonal violence in both genders. The severity of impulsiveness, however, played a more important role in self-injury than interpersonal violence or suicide attempts. Their study thus concluded that the childhood maltreatment experience and impulsivity were probably involved in different types of harmful and aggressive behaviors towards oneself and others (41). In the present study, females impulsivity score was higher than males, suggesting that impulsivity was higher in males than females. According to our findings, the severity of the experienced childhood abuse, inefficient self-concepts/beliefs about others, and destructive and impulsive behaviors were more noticed in individuals with a history of a chronic medical condition prior to the age of 18 years. This finding probably reflects the interaction between childhood abuse experience and EMSs, which may result in some harmful and impulsive behaviors in adulthood depending on the severity and extent of the abuse experience and the EMSs.

The researcher also spared his efforts to deal with the second research question, which dimension of the EMSs interacts with childhood abuse experience and impulsivity?

The findings showed a significant relationship between the childhood abuse components and the dimensions of the EMSs and a significant relationship between the EMSs and impulsivity.

The results of the present study are in line with those reported by Estevez et al. (25), who studied 182 victims of sexual child abuse referred to child abuse treatment centers. These researchers analyzed the mediating role of the EMSs and impulsivity symptoms. Their findings show that childhood sexual abuse experience has a significant relationship with the EMSs. Moreover, the disconnection/rejection schema plays a mediating role in the relationship between childhood abuse experience with eating disorders, alcohol abuse, and impulsive behaviors (25). In contrast, in addition to sexual abuse in the present study,

Table 3. Correlation Matrix Between the Concerned Variables (N = 151)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Impulsivity	1														
Motor impulsiveness	0.604 ^a	1													
Non-planning	0.488 ^a	-0.361 ^a	1												
Cognitive impulsiveness	0.446 ^a	0.389 ^a	-0.165 ^b	1											
Emotional abuse	-0.091	-0.315 ^a	0.244 ^a	-0.152	1										
Physical abuse	-0.122	-0.184 ^b	0.055	-0.077	0.613 ^a	1									
Neglect	-0.004	0.191 ^b	-0.218 ^a	0.083	-0.541 ^a	-0.382 ^a	1								
Sexual abuse	-0.035	-0.122	0.104	-0.086	0.249 ^a	0.374 ^a	-0.313 ^a	1							
Disconnection and rejection	-0.205 ^b	-0.452 ^a	0.333 ^a	-0.439 ^a	0.393 ^a	0.124	-0.201 ^b	0.215 ^a	1						
Impaired autonomy and performance	-0.219 ^a	-0.382 ^a	0.256 ^a	-0.459 ^a	0.466 ^a	0.215 ^a	-0.162 ^b	0.086	0.721 ^a	1					
Impaired limits	-0.156	-0.347 ^a	0.272 ^a	-0.379 ^a	0.268 ^a	0.096	-0.130	0.139	0.468 ^a	0.462 ^a	1				
Other-directedness	-0.295 ^a	-0.423 ^a	0.162 ^b	-0.339 ^a	0.386 ^a	0.135	-0.078	0.105	0.678 ^a	0.686 ^a	0.497 ^a	1			
Hypervigilance /inhibition	-0.176 ^b	-0.182 ^b	0.061	-0.300 ^a	0.135	0.024	-0.077	0.070	0.541 ^a	0.423 ^a	0.394 ^a	0.445 ^a	1		
Child abuse total	-0.134	-0.245 ^a	0.115	-0.124	0.802 ^a	0.774 ^a	-0.082	0.374 ^a	0.294 ^b	0.390 ^a	0.208 ^b	0.343 ^a	0.88	1	
EMSS total	-0.255 ^b	-0.458 ^a	-0.295 ^a	-0.492	0.441 ^a	-0.164 ^b	-0.181 ^b	0.163 ^b	0.916 ^a	0.885 ^a	0.621 ^a	0.809 ^a	0.656 ^a	0.352 ^a	1

^a P < 0.001.^b P < 0.005.**Table 4.** Results of Liner Regression Model for Predictors of Motor and cognitive Impulsiveness And Non-Planning

Variables	Motor			Cognitive			Non-Planning		
	B ^a	SE (B) ^b	P Value	B ^a	SE (B) ^b	P Value	B ^a	SE (B) ^b	P Value
Constant	43.087	1.625	< 0.001	18.07	2.29	< 0.001	12.70	0.69	< 0.001
Disconnection and rejection	-0.067	0.22	0.002	0.069	0.018	< 0.001	-	-	-
Other-directedness	-0.129	0.059	0.029	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neglect	-	-	-	-0.137	0.068	0.046	-	-	-
Impaired autonomy and performance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.030	0.007	< 0.001
Impaired limits	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.053	0.020	0.009
	R ² = 20%, F = 38.17, P < 0.001			R ² = 11%, F = 18.60, P < 0.001			R ² = 21%, F = 39.73, P < 0.001		

^a Regression coefficients.^b Standard error (SD/√n).

physical and emotional abuse and neglect were significantly correlated with the EMSs. The inconsistencies can be attributed to different statistical population and questionnaires used in these studies. In Estevez's et al. study, the statistical population included 182 female patients who had the sexual abuse experience and had referred to the treatment centers because of maltreatment. Furthermore, only sexual abuse was addressed in their questionnaire; however, the physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect were also deal with in the present study. Such a difference can explain the inconsistency of the research findings.

The findings of the present study suggest that emotional abuse, sexual abuse, and neglect may be more common in individuals who fail to establish safe and satisfactory attachments to others and believe that their needs for

security, love, kindness, and attachment are not met (disconnection/rejection). Individuals with a history of emotional and physical abuse and neglect also failed to develop an independent identity and lead their lives without the others' constant and unlimited help (impaired autonomy and performance).

Moreover, individuals with emotional abuse experience may experience difficulties in committing or achieving long-term goals (impaired limits). These individuals were not probably allowed to voluntarily follow their natural tendencies during childhood; therefore, instead of self-directedness during adulthood, they are impressed by the outer world and follow others' desires and demands (other-directedness).

Kaya Tezel et al. (42) studied 300 healthy young individ-

uals to explore the relationships among the interpersonal styles, childhood traumatic experience, and the EMSs (as the study variables). Their findings showed a significant relationship between interpersonal styles and childhood abuse experience and a significant relationship between the interpersonal styles and childhood abuse experience with regard to the mediating role of the EMSs (42).

The results of the present study are consistent with those reported by Estevez et al. (43), who studied 168 victims of sexual child abuse. They reported the significant relationship between childhood sexual abuse experience with the EMSs and displaced aggression. In their study, females gained higher scores in sexual abuse and emotional abuse aspect, in comparison to men (43). However, in the present study, males gained higher scores in sexual abuse aspect than females. Furthermore, females's neglect score was higher than males, leading to the difference between the impulsiveness levels in both genders. In other words, there was a significant difference between the impulsivity levels in females and males since impulsivity was higher in males than females.

In their study on 653 academic females, Roemmele and Messman-Moore (44) analyzed the self-reports on sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse. Their findings indicated that childhood abuse experience had a significant relationship with rejection and other-directedness dimensions. The disconnection and rejection schemas revealed a significant relationship between child emotional maltreatment and the number of sexual partners, while they partly mediated the relationship between sexual abuse and physical abuse (44).

Rostami al. (45) conducted a study to analyze the relationship between childhood abuse experience with coping strategies and the EMSs. They examined 318 individuals referring to the counseling centers in the west of Tehran and reported that childhood abuse experience played a critical role in the formation of the EMSs and emotion-focused responses (45). These finding were in line with the findings of the present study. To justify the findings of the present study, it can be stated that childhood traumas result in the formation of the EMSs (17, 18). Moreover, the development of an early maladaptive schema during childhood is a threat, which represents a form of failure to meet and satisfy a fundamental emotional need during childhood (17, 18).

As a response to this threat and as a coping mechanism, a child may use a combination of surrender, avoidance, and overcompensation styles. This response is an adaptive response during childhood; however, it is considered to be maladaptive in adulthood because this combination leads to the continuation of the schemas even if the individual's life conditions change and he/she is pro-

vided with better opportunities. In addition, these maladaptive coping styles lead to the imprisonment of the individuals in their own schemas. Similar studies suggest that individuals disregard their schemas when they try to change their lives in order to prevent the activation of their schemas. These individuals are unwilling to feel the activated schemas, and when such feelings reach a conscious level, they immediately avoid them in any possible way. Although they seem to be completely normal in their relationships, excessively drink alcohol, and eat or engage in promiscuous sexual relationships. They may also be sensation seekers or workaholics. Moreover, they often avoid situations invoking their schemas (e.g. intimate relationships or professional challenges) (17, 18).

The limitation of this study are similar to those involved in all questionnaire-based studies that might be the result of biased answers. In other words, the respondents might become anxious by recalling their childhood abuse experiences or get embarrassed to answer the maltreatment questions as such they may try to share a more acceptable (or unacceptable) image of their social and personal experience. There are differences among different cultures so that the responses to childhood traumas and the results cannot be generalized to other cultures.

Further research is recommended to investigate the role of other variables, including self-esteem, the severity of the psychological symptoms, and the role of protective variables such as high or low socioeconomic status, which might be involved in the components of childhood abuse experience. More studies on different statistical populations and larger samples are also suggested.

5.1. Conclusions

This study documented the relationship between childhood traumas with the EMSs and impulsivity. Childhood and adolescence abuse or maltreatment experiences lead to the formation of the EMSs, which probably play a key role in an individual's self-misconception, perceptions of the incidents and events, and the others' motives. These schemas may result in to inefficient interpersonal relationships, risky behaviors, self-harm, harmful behavior towards others, and reduced mental health during an individual's lifetime. The findings of this study could be used by psychological and psychiatric treatment centers to develop counseling and psychological treatment plans in order to identify the EMSs and coping styles and consider the vital roles of childhood and adolescence experiences in fruitful lives and mental health.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. We would like to thank Vice-Chancellor of Research Affairs of ZAUMS, and our patients for taking part in this study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh and Azizollah Mojahed. Acquisition of data: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Analysis and interpretation of data: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh, Azizollah Mojahed, and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Drafting of the manuscript: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh, Azizollah Mojahed, and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh, Azizollah Mojahed, and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Statistical analysis: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh, Azizollah Mojahed, and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Administrative, technical, and material support: Jafar Sarani Yaztappeh, Azizollah Mojahed, and Mohamad Davood Mohebi. Study supervision: Azizollah Mojahed.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The Ethical Committee of ZAUMS approved the research design (code: IR.ZAUMS.REC.1397.2).

Funding/Support: This study was supported financially by Zahedan University of Medical Science, Zahedan, Iran.

References

- Mohammadi MR, Zarafshan H, Khaleghi A. Child Abuse in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Iran J Psychiatry*. 2014;**9**(3):118-24. [PubMed: 25561950]. [PubMed Central: PMC4277799].
- Weibel S, Vidal S, Olie E, Hasler R, Torriani C, Prada P, et al. Impact of child maltreatment on meaning in life in psychiatric patients. *Psychiatry Res*. 2017;**251**:204-11. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.02.026. [PubMed: 28214436].
- Jaffee SR. Child maltreatment and risk for psychopathology in childhood and adulthood. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2017;**13**:525-51. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032816-045005. [PubMed: 28375720].
- Ajlilian Abbasi M, Saeidi M, Khademi G, Hoseini BL, Emami Moghadam Z. Child maltreatment in the world: A review article. *Int J Pediatr*. 2015;**3**(1.1):353-65.
- Corstorphine E, Waller G, Lawson R, Ganis C. Trauma and multi-impulsivity in the eating disorders. *Eat Behav*. 2007;**8**(1):23-30. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2004.08.009. [PubMed: 17174848].
- Scott KM, Smith DR, Ellis PM. Prospectively ascertained child maltreatment and its association with DSM-IV mental disorders in young adults. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;**67**(7):712-9. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.71. [PubMed: 20603452].
- Powers A, Etkin A, Gyurak A, Bradley B, Jovanovic T. Associations between childhood abuse, posttraumatic stress disorder, and implicit emotion regulation deficits: Evidence from a low-income, inner-city population. *Psychiatry*. 2015;**78**(3):251-64. doi: 10.1080/00332747.2015.1069656. [PubMed: 26391833]. [PubMed Central: PMC4705548].
- Milot T, Ethier LS, St-Laurent D, Provost MA. The role of trauma symptoms in the development of behavioral problems in maltreated preschoolers. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2010;**34**(4):225-34. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.07.006. [PubMed: 20303174].
- Moylan CA, Herrenkohl TI, Sousa C, Tajima EA, Herrenkohl RC, Russo MJ. The effects of child abuse and exposure to domestic violence on adolescent internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. *J Fam Violence*. 2010;**25**(1):53-63. doi: 10.1007/s10896-009-9269-9. [PubMed: 20495613]. [PubMed Central: PMC2872483].
- Kuhlman KR, Boyle CC, Irwin MR, Ganz PA, Crespi CM, Asher A, et al. Childhood maltreatment, psychological resources, and depressive symptoms in women with breast cancer. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2017;**72**:360-9. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.08.025. [PubMed: 28888809]. [PubMed Central: PMC5659876].
- Wu Q, Chi P, Lin X, Du H. Child maltreatment and adult depressive symptoms: Roles of self-compassion and gratitude. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2018;**80**:62-9. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.03.013. [PubMed: 29571033].
- Infurna MR, Reichl C, Parzer P, Schimmenti A, Bifulco A, Kaess M. Associations between depression and specific childhood experiences of abuse and neglect: A meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;**190**:47-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.09.006. [PubMed: 26480211].
- Thornberry TP, Henry KL, Ireland TO, Smith CA. The causal impact of childhood-limited maltreatment and adolescent maltreatment on early adult adjustment. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;**46**(4):359-65. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.09.011. [PubMed: 20307825]. [PubMed Central: PMC2871696].
- Affi TO, Taillieu T, Zamorski MA, Turner S, Cheung K, Sareen J. Association of child abuse exposure with suicidal ideation, suicide plans, and suicide attempts in military personnel and the general population in Canada. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;**73**(3):229-38. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.2732. [PubMed: 26817953].
- McHolm AE, MacMillan HL, Jamieson E. The relationship between childhood physical abuse and suicidality among depressed women: Results from a community sample. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003;**160**(5):933-8. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.5.933. [PubMed: 12727698].
- Calvete E. Emotional abuse as a predictor of early maladaptive schemas in adolescents: Contributions to the development of depressive and social anxiety symptoms. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2014;**38**(4):735-46. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2013.10.014. [PubMed: 24252743].
- Honardoost N. [A report of several books on schema therapy]. *Clin Psychol Stud*. 2015;**5**(18):167-80. Persian.
- Young JE, Klosko JS, Weishaar ME. *Schema therapy: A practitioner's guide*. New York: Guilford Press; 2003.
- Taher M, Taher M, Khanzadeh H, Ali A, Mojarrad A. Analytical study of early maladaptive schemas in abused children. *Q J Child Ment Health*. 2016;**3**(1):53-69.
- Narimani M, Mahmoodi-Aghdam M, Abolghasemi A. The role of child abuse and neglect in predicting the early maladaptive schemas domain. *Zahedan J Res Med Sci*. 2012;**14**(10):28-32.
- Shorey RC, Anderson S, Stuart GL. The relation between antisocial and borderline personality symptoms and early maladaptive schemas in a treatment seeking sample of male substance users. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2014;**21**(4):341-51. doi: 10.1002/cpp.1843. [PubMed: 23650153]. [PubMed Central: PMC4092040].
- Boone L, Braet C, Vandereycken W, Claes L. Are maladaptive schema domains and perfectionism related to body image concerns in eating disorder patients? *Eur Eat Disord Rev*. 2013;**21**(1):45-51. doi: 10.1002/erv.2175. [PubMed: 22556040].
- Calvete E, Estevez A. [Substance use in adolescents: the role of stress, impulsivity, and schemas related to lack of limits]. *Adicciones*. 2009;**21**(1):49-56. Spanish. [PubMed: 19333524].
- Sigre-Leiros VL, Carvalho J, Nobre P. Early maladaptive schemas and aggressive sexual behavior: A preliminary study with male college students. *J Sex Med*. 2013;**10**(7):1764-72. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02875.x. [PubMed: 22906104].

25. Estevez A, Ozerinjauregi N, Herrero-Fernandez D, Jauregui P. The mediator role of early maladaptive schemas between childhood sexual abuse and impulsive symptoms in female survivors of CSA. *J Interpers Violence*. 2019;**34**(4):763–84. doi: [10.1177/0886260516645815](https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516645815). [PubMed: [27112507](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27112507/)].
26. Moeller FG, Barratt ES, Dougherty DM, Schmitz JM, Swann AC. Psychiatric aspects of impulsivity. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001;**158**(11):1783–93. doi: [10.1176/appi.ajp.158.11.1783](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.11.1783). [PubMed: [11691682](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11691682/)].
27. Monterosso J, Ainslie G. Beyond discounting: possible experimental models of impulse control. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1999;**146**(4):339–47. doi: [10.1007/pl00005480](https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00005480). [PubMed: [10550485](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10550485/)].
28. Dickman SJ. Functional and dysfunctional impulsivity: Personality and cognitive correlates. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1990;**58**(1):95–102. doi: [10.1037//0022-3514.58.1.95](https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.58.1.95). [PubMed: [2308076](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2308076/)].
29. Barkley RA. Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: Constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. *Psychol Bull*. 1997;**121**(1):65–94. doi: [10.1037/0033-2909.121.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.121.1.65). [PubMed: [9000892](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9000892/)].
30. Bakhshani NM. Impulsivity: A predisposition toward risky behaviors. *Int J High Risk Behav Addict*. 2014;**3**(2). e20428. doi: [10.5812/ijhrba.20428](https://doi.org/10.5812/ijhrba.20428). [PubMed: [25032165](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25032165/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4080475](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4080475/)].
31. Wright MO, Crawford E, Del Castillo D. Childhood emotional maltreatment and later psychological distress among college students: The mediating role of maladaptive schemas. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2009;**33**(1):59–68. doi: [10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2008.12.007). [PubMed: [19167067](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19167067/)].
32. Mohammadkhani P, Mohammadi MR, Nazari MA, Salavati M, Razzaghi OM. Development, validation and reliability of child abuse self report scale (casrs) in Iranian students. *Med J Islam Republ Iran*. 2003;**17**(1):51–8.
33. Oei TPS, Baranoff J. Young Schema questionnaire: Review of psychometric and measurement issues. *Aust J Psychol*. 2007;**59**(2):78–86. doi: [10.1080/00049530601148397](https://doi.org/10.1080/00049530601148397).
34. Waller G, Meyer C, Ohanian V. Psychometric properties of the long and short versions of the Young Schema questionnaire: Core beliefs among bulimic and comparison women. *Cog Ther Res*. 2001;**25**(2):137–47.
35. Welburn K, Coristine M, Dagg P, Pontefract A, Jordan S. The Schema Questionnaire-short form: Factor analysis and relationship between schemas and symptoms. *Cog Ther Res*. 2002;**26**(4):519–30. doi: [10.1023/a:1016231902020](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1016231902020).
36. Sadooghi Z, Aguilar-Vafaie ME, Rasoulzadeh Tabatabaie K, Esfehian N. Factor analysis of the young schema questionnaire-short form in a nonclinical Iranian sample. *Iran J Psychiatr Clin Psychol*. 2008;**14**(2):214–9.
37. Londoño NH, Schnitter M, Marín C, Calvete E, Ferrer A, Maestre K, et al. Young Schema questionnaire-short form: Validación en Colombia. *Univ Psychol*. 2012;**11**(1):147–64.
38. Patton JH, Stanford MS, Barratt ES. Factor structure of the Barratt Impulsiveness scale. *J Clin Psychol*. 1995;**51**(6):768–74. doi: [10.1002/1097-4679\(199511\)51:6<768::aid-jclp2270510607>3.0.co;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(199511)51:6<768::aid-jclp2270510607>3.0.co;2-1). [PubMed: [8778124](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8778124/)].
39. Javid M, Mohammadi N, Rahimi CH. Psychometric properties of an Iranian version of the Barratt Impulsiveness scale-11 (BIS-11). *J Psychol Models Methods*. 2012;**2**(8):21–32.
40. Narvaez JC, Magalhaes PV, Trindade EK, Vieira DC, Kauer-Sant'anna M, Gama CS, et al. Childhood trauma, impulsivity, and executive functioning in crack cocaine users. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2012;**53**(3):238–44. doi: [10.1016/j.comppsy.2011.04.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2011.04.058). [PubMed: [21640340](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21640340/)].
41. McMahon K, Hoertel N, Olfson M, Wall M, Wang S, Blanco C. Childhood maltreatment and impulsivity as predictors of interpersonal violence, self-injury and suicide attempts: A national study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2018;**269**:386–93. doi: [10.1016/j.psychres.2018.08.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.08.059). [PubMed: [30173045](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30173045/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6212291](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6212291/)].
42. Kaya Tezel F, Tutarel Kislak S, Boysan M. Relationships between childhood traumatic experiences, early maladaptive schemas and interpersonal styles. *Noro Psikiyatrs Ars*. 2015;**52**(3):226–32. doi: [10.5152/npa.2015.7118](https://doi.org/10.5152/npa.2015.7118). [PubMed: [28360715](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28360715/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5353053](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5353053/)].
43. Estevez A, Ozerinjauregi N, Herrero-Fernandez D. Maladaptive schemas as mediators in the relationship between child sexual abuse and displaced aggression. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2016;**25**(4):449–65. doi: [10.1080/10538712.2016.1156207](https://doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2016.1156207). [PubMed: [27266539](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27266539/)].
44. Roemmele M, Messman-Moore TL. Child abuse, early maladaptive schemas, and risky sexual behavior in college women. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2011;**20**(3):264–83. doi: [10.1080/10538712.2011.575445](https://doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2011.575445). [PubMed: [21660814](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21660814/)].
45. Rostami M, Saadati N, Ghezelsefloo M. The relationship between childhood abuse experience and primary stress confronting methods and maladaptive schemas. *J Fundam Ment Health*. 2015;**17**(6):269–77. doi: [10.22038/jfmh.2015.5346](https://doi.org/10.22038/jfmh.2015.5346).